



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,727	07/09/2003	Mark A. Reiley	9448.17205-CIP DIV	1799
21971	7590 12/01/2004		EXAM	INER
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI 650 PAGE MILL ROAD			ISABELLA, DAVID J	
PALO ALTO, CA 943041050			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3738	

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	N		
	10/615,727	REILEY, MARK A.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit .	<del></del>		
	DAVID J ISABELLA	3738	•		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence add	Iress		
Period for Reply		- 1101/7/1/0 77 014			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, ar  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by star Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, reply within the statutory minimum od will apply and will expire SIX (6 tute, cause the application to becc	nay a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ) MONTHS from the mailing date of this corume ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	September 2004.				
,—	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow			merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935	6 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)	3 <u>,56 <i>and 57</i></u> is/are withd /ed. <u>4</u> is/are rejected.				
Application Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the atta	ached Office Action or form PT	U-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume  2. Certified copies of the priority docume  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume  application from the International Bure  * See the attached detailed Office action for a least content of the priority documents.	ents have been received ents have been received riority documents have l eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	I. I in Application Ņo been received in this National S	Stage		
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		view Summary (PTO-413)			
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO: r: •	-152)		
Patent and Trademark Office					

Art Unit: 3738

#### Election/Restrictions

Claims 8,9,12,32,33,51-53,56,57 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 9/13/2004.

Applicant's election without traverse of figure 25 in the reply filed on 9/13/2004 is acknowledged.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 34-43,67-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

This application is a divisional of parent application 09/693272. The subject matter directed to the language of "said prosthesis is configured so that no portion of said prosthesis contacts the posterior arch of said vertebra" finds no clear support in applicant's specification. Accordingly, these claims are directed to newly presented

Art Unit: 3738

subject matter. Accordingly, the claims will be rejected over US Patent 6,579,319 from which the claims were copied.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 34-43,67-70 are rejected under 35 Ú.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Goble et al (6,579,319).

These claims were copied from the patent. Since applicant's specification does not clearly support the language of "said prosthesis is configured so that no portion of said prosthesis contacts the posterior arch of said vertebra", these claims are anticipated by Goble, et al.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,6,7,10,11,13,14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by either of Martin (6132464) or Fitz (Re36578).

Each of Martin and Fitz disclose a prosthesis to replace a cephalad portion of a left natural facet joint on a vertebral body and a cephalad portion of a right natural facet joint on the vertebral body, the prosthesis comprising left and right prosthesis bodies accommodating fixation to the vertebral body at or near respective left and right pedicles without support of a lamina, an artificial left facet joint structure on the left prosthesis body adapted and configured to replace a cephalad portion of the left natural facet joint, and an artificial right facet joint structure on the right prosthesis body adapted and configured to replacea cephalad portion of the right natural facet joint.

Examiner is interpreting the language of the claim as a structure. The manner in which the implant is used does not materially affect the structure of the device as broadly worded.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 62,63 and 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by any of Laure (4040130), Johnson, et al (4156296) and Lewis (4231121).

Art Unit: 3738

Examiner is interpreting the language of the claim as a structure. The manner in which the implant is used does not materially affect the structure of the device as broadly worded; and these devices are structurally similar to the device employed by applicant, that they would be capable of being utilized in the manner as claimed.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 64 and 65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any of Laure, Johnson, et al and Lewis as applied to claim 62 above, and further in view of Brosnaham III (5766253).

The use of a porous coating in combination with bioactive agents including osteoinductive and osteoconductive material in combination with an implant to be adhered to the interior of the bone is well known as taught by Brosnaham III. To provide the implants of Laure, Johnson, et al and Lewis with means for attaching the implant securely in the interior volume of a bone by means of a porous coating with bioactive agents therein would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art from the teachings of Brosnaham III.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Page 6

Claims 44-50,54,55,58-61 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID J ISABELLA whose telephone number is 703-308-3060. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CORRINE MCDERMOTT can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3738

DJI November 29, 2004